

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,670	01/21/2004	Robert M. Jacobs	D/A1147	3399
75	90 11/03/2004		EXAM	INER
Patent Documentation Center			BOLLINGER, DAVID H	
Xerox Corporation Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Clinton Ave. S.			3653	
Rochester, NY 14644			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/761,670	JACOBS, ROBERT M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David H Bollinger	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	=- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/21/04</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/761,670 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 through 5, 8 through 10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Franko.

Franko discloses at least one stripper finger 112 to assist in stripping a sheet from the surface of a fuser roller 103 including a pneumatic system for blowing air under the leading edge of the sheet to lift the sheet (see Figs. 2 and 2A and column 2 lines 46-59).

Claims 4 through 6, 8 through 10 and 12 through 14 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stange.

Stange discloses a stripping apparatus 10 having at least one stripper finger including a pneumatic system for blowing air under the leading edge of the sheet to lift the sheet from the moving surface 16. Further, Stange discloses that the stripper finger may include an airflow path extending toward the stripping edge and opening upwardly closely adjacent the stripping edge (see Fig. 5 and column 4 lines 8-10). Note Fig. 5 shows airflow paths opening adjacent the stripping edge which blow air from the bottom surface of the stripping finger so as to flow along the surface 16 under the leading edge of the sheet and upwardly as related above.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,670 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stange in view of Franko.

Stange as interpreted above in paragraph 3 teaches everything except the moving surface is a roll of a xerographic fuser and the sheet is a xerographically printed sheet being fused by the fuser.

Franko teaches that such a stripper system may be employed to assist lifting a sheet from a fuser roller, which is fusing a xerographically printed sheet (see the abstract).

In view of the teachings of Franko, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art that the moving surface of Stange be the moving surface of a xerographic fuser that is fusing a xerographically printed sheet.

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification fails to provide headings for the various sections of the specification (i.e. Background of the Invention, Summary of the Invention, Brief Description of the Drawings and Detail Description of the Invention).

Appropriate correction is required.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H Bollinger whose telephone number is 703-308-

Art Unit: 3653

1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on 703-306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David H Bollinger
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3653